



### **SPECIFICATIONS**

Tested model	Hyundai Accent
Body type	4 door Sedan
Year of publication	2021
Crash test weight (KG)	1332
VIN from which Rating applies	
Made in	Mexico & India
Test valid for	Latin NCAP market



## SAFETY EQUIPMENT

•			
	DRIVER	PASSENGER	REAR
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION		1	'
Frontal airbag	YES STANDARD	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT APPLICABLE
Belt pretensioner	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
Belt Loadlimiter	YES STANDARD	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
knee airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head curtain airbag	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL
Side head (thorax head) airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side Chest airbag	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
Side pelvis airbag	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
CHILD PROTECTION			
ISOFIX	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	YES OUTBOARD SEATS
Integrated CRS	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NO
Airbag cut-off switch	NOT APPLICABLE	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder (SBR)	YES STANDARD	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
OTHER SAFETY SYSTEMS			
ESC standard	1	IO-JUST OPTIONAL	
GTR 9 - UN 127 Pedestrian protection	DOES NOT MEET		
AEB Pedestrian	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB City	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB inter-Urban		NOT AVAILABLE	
Speed Assist System		NOT AVAILABLE	
Lane Assist system		NOT AVAILABLE	



WHIPLASH REAR IMPACT 1.57p



SIDE MOVABLE DEFORMABLE BARRIER TEST (car)

5.11 p

SIDE POLE IMPACT TEST (Pole)

**NO TEST** 





UN R32 (max 1 point)	1
AEB City (max 3 points)	NO TEST
Rescue Sheet (max 1 point)	0

FUEL LEAKAGE DETECTED IN ANY TEST CONFIGURATION

NO



### **Adult Occupant**

3.69 points 9.23 %



#### **COMMENTS**

Adult Occupant - Frontal impact: The protection offered to the driver and passenger head and neck was good. Driver's chest showed adequate protection and passenger's chest showed poor protection leading to zero points in frontal crash. Driver's knees and one passenger knee showed marginal protection as they can impact with dangerous structures behind the fascia while one passenger's knee showed good protection. Driver's tibias showed adequate protection and passenger's tibias showed good protection. Footwell area was rated as stable. The bodyshell was rated as stable and it is capable of withstanding further loadings. Side impact: Head and chest showed marginal protection while abdomen showed adequate protection and pelvis good protection. Side Pole Impact: was not performed as the car does not offer side head protection as standard. Whiplash: the protection to the neck was marginal. UN R32: the car meets the rear impact structure requirements. AEB City: the car does not offer optional AEB city following Latin NCAP fitment rate. Rescue sheet: does not meet Latin NCAP requirements.

### **CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM**

Dynamic score: Installation score: Vehicle assessment score: 0.00 (max 24) 3.21 (max 12) 3.00 (max 13)

	CHILD RESTRAINT	DYNAMIC SCORE	CRS TYPE	ADJUST	POSITION
18 month old child	Joie Spin 360	Front 0.00 (8 max) Side 0.00 (4 max)	0+/I	ISOFIX/LEG	RWF
3 year old child	Joie Spin 360	Front 0.00 (8 max) Side 0.00 (4 max)	0+/I	ISOFIX/LEG	RWF

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row centre
ISOFIX	NOT AVAILABLE	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
I-Size	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Integrated CRS	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE

#### **CRS INSTALLATION ASSESSMENT**

					2ND ROW	
REFERENCE LIST			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Group 0+	Peg Perego Viaggio Swi	itchable	×			
Group 0+	Roemer Baby Safe		×	<b>X</b> *	$\circ$	×*
Group 0+	Bébé Confort Streety F	ix / Maxi Cosi Citi SPS	×			
Group I	Peg Perego Viaggio Swi	itchable FWF	×			
Group I	Graco Cadeira Nautilus	s FWF	×			
Group II/III	Burigotto Multipla 1,2,3		×			
Group II/III	Graco Cadeira Nautilus		×			
MANUFACTURER			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Q1.5	Joie Spin 360	ISOFIX/LEG	×	$\mathbf{x}^*$	0	<b>×</b> *
Q3	Joie Spin 360	ISOFIX/LEG	×	X*	$\circ$	<b>X</b> *
Pass	Fail C Exempt		*Fá	ail only du	e to failed n	narking



6.21 points 12.68%



#### **COMMENTS**

Child occupant - The child seat for the 3-year-old child was installed rearward facing using ISOFIX anchorages and support leg. It was capable of preventing head exposure and offered good protection. Side Impact: the CRS offered full protection. The Q1.5 was installed rearward facing using ISOFIX anchorages and support leg, the CRS prevented the head exposure offering full protection. Rear backrest unlatching can offer risks. Side Impact: the CRS offered full protection. The manufacturer refused to recommend CRS which leads to zero points in dynamic test. Some of the CRSs tested for installation failed. Despite having standard ISOFIX anchorages in rear outboard positions marking was not according to Latin NCAP criteria. Airbag warning when a rearward CRS is installed in the passenger seat meets Latin NCAP requirements. Passenger airbag could not be disconnected in the case that a rearward facing CRS is installed in the front passenger seat.

### PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION (pasive)

**25.49 points** 

the car does not meet pedestrian protection regulations



	Points	max score
Head impact	11.77	24
Upper Leg impact (Pelvis)	6.00	6
Lowe Leg impact (Leg)	4.40	6

**AEB PEDESTRIAN NO TEST** 15%

Balance factor for Pedestrian protection (until 2021)

Name of System	-
speed range Minimum (km/h)	-
speed range Maximum (km/h)	-
Type of dummy used	-
Dummy speed	-
Obstruct bn scenario used	-

#### **PERFORMANCE**

Test Speed (km/h)	Test Speed (km/h)	CONTACT AVOIDED
20km/h to 30km/h	_	YES
30km/h to 40km/h	_	YES
More than 40km/h	_	YES

X Fail Pass NOT AVAILABLE



PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION

25.49 points 53.11 %



#### **COMMENTS**

Pedestrian Protection: The car does not prove to meet pedestrian protection UN regulations. The car showed average protection to pedestrians in the head, good protection to the upper leg and adequate to weak protection to the lower leg. AEB VRU: not available according to fitment requirements.



**SPEED ASSISTENCE** (this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

**NO TEST** 

SEAT BELT REMINDER (this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

3.00 points

Warning	Driver	Passenger	Rear Passenger
Visual	<b>✓</b>	×	×
Audible	<b>✓</b>	×	×

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS **NO TEST** 

LDW is not available LKA is not available

System Name	-
Functionality	-
Operational from (km/h)	-
Warning	-

**PERFORMANCE** 

LKA Test	-
LDW Test	-

ROAD EDGE DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

**NO TEST** 

RED did not confirm operation by Validation

BLIND SPOT DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

**NO TEST** 

BSD could not be confirmed by Validation

**ESC** 0 points

ESC IS NOT STANDARD IN ALL VERSIONS ESC Meets regulatory requirements of Latin NCAP

# MOOSE TEST - for information only - no scoring

ADAC MOOSE TEST

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h)

0

MOOOSE TEST: CONSUMER TESTING

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h) 0

AEB Interurban		NO TEST
System Name	-	
Functionality	-	
Operational from (km/h)	-	

### **PERFORMANCE**

	AEB		Driver React	s to warning
Operational Speed (km/h) Min   Max	-	-	-	-
Approaching a slower moving car	-	-	-	-

### **FOLLOWING A CAR AT SHORT DISTANCE**

TOLLOWING A CARACT SHORT BISTAINCE				
	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning		
Car in front brakes gently	-	-		
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-		

### FOLLOWING A CAR AT LONG DISTANCE

	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning
Car in front brakes gently	-	-
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-



# **SAFETY ASSIST**

3.00 points 6.98 %



### **COMMENTS**

Safety Assist Systems: ESC: The car does not offer ESC as standard. SBR: the car offers front driver SBR only. SA: the car does not offer a speed limitation device. BSD: The car does not offer BSD as standard. LSS and RED: the car does not offer these technologies. AEB Interurban: The car does not have AEB interurban.

