



SPECIFICATIONS

Tested model	Great Wall Motors Wingle 5
Body type	4 door Pick Up
Year of publication	2021
Crash test weight (KG)	1966
VIN from which Rating applies	
Made in	China
Test valid for	Latin NCAP market

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

	DRIVER	PASSENGER	REAR
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT APPLICABLE
Belt pretensioner	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Belt Loadlimiter	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
knee airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head curtain airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side head (thorax head) airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side Chest airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side pelvis airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
CHILD PROTECTION			
ISOFIX	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NO
Integrated CRS	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NO
Airbag cut-offswitch	NOT APPLICABLE	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder (SBR)	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
OTHER SAFETY SYSTEMS			
ESC standard		NOT AVAILABLE	
GTR 9 - UN 127 Pedestrian protection		DOES NOT MEET	
AEB Pedestrian	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB City	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB inter-Urban	NOT AVAILABLE		
Speed Assist System	NOT AVAILABLE		
Lane Assist system	NOT AVAILABLE		

FRONTAL OFFSET DEFORMABLE BARRIER 0 p FRONTAL DRIVER FRONTAL PASSENGER

WHIPLASH REAR IMPACT

0 p



SIDE MOVABLE DEFORMABLE BARRIER TEST (car) 7.72 p

SIDE POLE IMPACT TEST (Pole)

NO TEST





PRO	TECT	ION
1110	ILCI	IOI









UN R32 (max 1 point)	0
AEB City (max 3 points)	NO TEST
Rescue Sheet (max 1 point)	0

FUEL LEAKAGE DETECTED IN ANY TEST CONFIGURATION

NO



Adult Occupant

3.72 points 9.31 %



COMMENTS

Adult Occupant - Frontal impact: The protection offered to the driver head and neck was poor leading to the zero points in the frontal test. Passenger head and neck protection was good. Driver's and passenger's chest showed poor protection which leads to zero points in the frontal test. Driver's knees showed marginal protection as they can impact with dangerous structures behind the fascia while passenger's knees showed marginal and poor protection. Driver's tibias showed marginal and poor protection while passenger's tibias showed adequate protection. The bodyshell was rated as unstable and it is not capable of withstanding further loadings. Footwell area is also rated as unstable. Side impact: Head, abdomen and pelvis protection was good while chest protection was adequate with high intrusion in the passenger compartment. Side Pole Impact: was not performed as the car does not offer side head protection as standard. Whiplash: the protection to the neck was poor. The car does not prove to meet the rear impact structure requirements. AEB City: the car does not offer optional AEB city following Latin NCAP fitment rate. Rescue sheet: content is not available.

CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM

Dynamic score: 0.00 (max 24)

Installation score: 0.00 (max 12)

Vehicle assessment score: 0.00 (max 13)

	CHILD RESTRAINT	DYNAMIC SCORE	CRS TYPE	ADJUST	POSITION
18 month old child	Britax Roemer Baby Safe	Front 0.00 (8 max) Side 0.00 (4 max)	0+	Belted	RWF
3 year old child	Britax Roemer Duo Plus	Front 0.00 (8 max) Side 0.00 (4 max)	I	Belted	RWF

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row centre
ISOFIX	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
I-Size	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Integrated CRS	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE

CRS INSTALLATION ASSESSMENT

					2ND ROW	
REFERENCE LIST			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Group 0+	Peg Perego Viaggio Switchable	е	×	0	×	0
Group 0+	Roemer Baby Safe		×	×	0	×
Group 0+	Bébé Confort Streety Fix / Max	xi Cosi Citi SPS	×	\circ	×	\circ
Group I	Peg Perego Viaggio Switchable	e FWF	×	\circ	×	\circ
Group I	Graco Cadeira Nautilus FWF		×	\circ	×	\circ
Group II/III	Burigotto Multipla 1,2,3		×	\circ	×	\circ
Group II/III	Graco Cadeira Nautilus		×	\circ	×	\circ
MANUFACTURER			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Q1.5	Britax Roemer Baby Safe	Belted	×	×	×	×
Q3	Britax Roemer Duo Plus	Belted	×	×	×	×
Pass	Fail		*Fa	ail only du	ie to failed n	narking



0 points 0 %



COMMENTS

Child occupant - The child seat for the 3-year-old child was installed forward facing using the adult seatbelt. It was not capable of preventing excessive forward movement of the head. Side Impact: the CRS offered full protection. The Q1.5 was installed rearward facing using the seatbelt and support leg, the CRS prevented the head exposure offering good protection. Side Impact: the CRs offered full protection. The manufacturer refused to recommend CRS which leads to zero points in dynamic test. All the CRSs tested for installation failed. The car offers rear central lap belt as standard which leads to zero points in vehicle based assessment. Airbag warning when a rearward CRS is installed in the passenger seat was meeting Latin NCAP requirements. Passenger airbag could not be disconnected in the case that a rearward facing CRS is installed in the front passenger seat.

PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION (pasive)

9.35 points

15%

the car does not meet pedestrian protection regulations



	Points	max score
Head impact	1.50	24
Upper Leg impact (Pelvis)	3.17	6
Lowe Leg impact (Leg)	3.46	6

AEB PEDESTRIAN NO TEST

Balance factor for Pedestrian protection (until 2021)

Name of System
speed range Minimum (km/h)
speed range Maximum (km/h)
Type of dummy used
Dummy speed
Obstruct bn scenario used -

PERFORMANCE

Test Speed (km/h)	Test Speed (km/h)	CONTACT AVOIDED
20km/h to 30km/h	_	YES
30km/h to 40km/h	_	YES
More than 40km/h	_	YES



PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION

9.35 points 19.48 %



COMMENTS

Pedestrian Protection: The car does not prove to meet Pedestrian protection UN regulations. The car showed poor protection to pedestrians. AEB VRU: not available.



SPEED ASSISTENCE (this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

NO TEST

SEAT BELT REMINDER (this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

0.00 points

Warning	Driver	Passenger	Rear Passenger
Visual	×	×	×
Audible	×	×	×

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS NO TEST

LDW is not available LKA is not available

System Name	-
Functionality	-
Operational from (km/h)	-
Warning	-

PERFORMANCE

LKA Test	-
LDW Test	-

ROAD EDGE DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

NO TEST

RED did not confirm operation by Validation

BLIND SPOT DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

NO TEST

BSD could not be confirmed by Validation

ESC 0 points

ESC IS NOT STANDARD IN ALL VERSIONS ESC Meets regulatory requirements of Latin NCAP

MOOSE TEST - for information only - no scoring

ADAC MOOSE TEST

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h) 0

MOOOSE TEST: CONSUMER TESTING

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h) 0

AEB Interurban		NO TEST
System Name	-	
Functionality	-	
Operational from (km/h)	-	

PERFORMANCE

	AEB		Driver React	s to warning
Operational Speed (km/h) Min Max	-	-	-	-
Approaching a slower moving car	-	-	-	-

FOLLOWING A CAR AT SHORT DISTANCE

	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning		
Car in front brakes gently	-	-		
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-		

FOLLOWING A CAR AT LONG DISTANCE

	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning
Car in front brakes gently	-	-
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-



SAFETY ASSIST

0 points 0 %



COMMENTS

Safety Assist: ESC: The car does not offer ESC as standard. SBR: the car offers front driver SBR only. SA: the car does not offer a speed limitation device. BSD: The car does not offer BSD as standard. LSS and RED: the car does not offer these technologies. AEB Interurban: The car does not have AEB inter urban.

