



## **SPECIFICATIONS**

Tested model	Kia Picanto / Morning
Body type	5 door Hatchback
Year of publication	2020
Crash test weight (KG)	1142
Made in	Korea
Test valid for	Latin NCAP market




# SAFETY EQUIPMENT


*			
	DRIVER	PASSENGER	REAR
FRONTAL CRASH PROTECTION			
Frontal airbag	YES STANDARD	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT APPLICABLE
Belt pretensioner	YES STANDARD	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE
Belt Loadlimiter	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
knee airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
SIDE CRASH PROTECTION			
Side head curtain airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side head (thorax head) airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Side Chest airbag	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
Side pelvis airbag	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
CHILD PROTECTION			
ISOFIX	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NO-JUST OPTIONAL
Integrated CRS	NOT APPLICABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Airbag cut-offswitch	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT APPLICABLE
SAFETY ASSIST			
Seat Belt Reminder (SBR)	YES STANDARD	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
OTHER SAFETY SYSTEMS			
ESC standard		NO-JUST OPTIONAL	
GTR 9 - UN 127 Pedestrian protection	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB Pedestrian	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB City	NOT AVAILABLE		
AEB inter-Urban	NOT AVAILABLE		
Speed Assist System	NOT AVAILABLE		
Lane Assist system	NOT AVAILABLE		











WEAK

UN R32 (max 1 point)	1
AEB City (max 3 points)	0
Rescue Sheet (max 1 point)	0

MARGINAL

ADEQUATE

#### FUEL LEAKAGE DETECTED IN ANY TEST CONFIGURATION

GOOD

NO



# **Adult Occupant**

**PROTECTION** 

0.0 points 0 %

POOR



#### **COMMENTS**

Adult occupant: Frontal impact: The protection offered to the driver head was adequate and passenger's head, as well as Diver and passenger necks was good. Driver and passenger chest showed poor protection and brings the score of the frontal crash to zero. Driver and passenger's knees showed marginal protection as they can impact with dangerous structures behind the fascia. Driver tibias showed marginal and adequate protection, and passenger's tibias showed good protection. Footwell area was rated as unstable. The bodyshell was rated as unstable and it is not capable of withstanding further loadings. **Side impact:** Head, abdomen and pelvis protection was good, but chest protection was poor bringing the side impact score to zero. **Side Pole Impact:** was not performed as the car does not offer side head protection as standard. **Whiplash:** poor dynamic score lead to poor whiplash score. UN R32: the car meets the rear impact structure requirements. **AEB City:** as the car does not offer ESC as standard and also as it did not reach minimum score in this box and AEB not being available at all, AEB points were not considered. **Rescue sheet:** not available

#### **CHILD RESTRAINT SYSTEM**

Dynamic score: Installation score: Vehicle assessment score: 10.84 (max 24) 3.48 (max 12) 0.00 (max 13)

	CHILD RESTRAINT	DYNAMIC SCORE	CRS TYPE	ADJUST	POSITION
18 month old child	Britax Roemer Baby Safe	Frontal 6.84 (8 max) Side 4.00 (4 max)	0+/I	Belted	RWF
3 year old child	Britax Roemer Duo Plus	Frontal 0.00 (8 max) Side 0.00 (4 max)	0+/I	Belted	FWF

	Front Passenger	2nd row outboard	2nd row centre
ISOFIX	NOT AVAILABLE	NO-JUST OPTIONAL	NOT AVAILABLE
I-Size	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE
Integrated CRS	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE	NOT AVAILABLE

#### **CRS INSTALLATION ASSESSMENT**

					2ND ROW	
REFERENCE LIST			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Group 0+	Peg Perego V	ïaggio Switchable	×		×	
Group 0+	Roemer Baby	Safe	×	×	$\circ$	×
Group 0+	Bébé Confor	: Streety Fix / Maxi Cosi Citi SPS	×		×	
Group I	Peg Perego V	ïaggio Switchable FWF			×	
Group I	Graco Cadeir	a Nautilus FWF			×	
Group II/III	Burigotto Mu	ıltipla 1,2,3			×	
Group II/III	Graco Cadeir	a Nautilus			×	
MANUFACTURER			RIGHT	LEFT	CENTER	RIGHT
Q1.5	Römer Babys	afe	×		×	•
Q3	Römer Duo F	lus			×	
Pass	<b>X</b> Fail	○ Exempt	*Fa	ail only due	to failed mai	king



14.32 points 29.23%



#### **COMMENTS**

Child occupant: -The child seat for the 3-year-old child was installed forward facing using Adult seatbelt and was not capable of preventing excessive forward movement of the head. Neck protection was poor and chest showed low protection during the frontal impact. The risk of ejection present decreased the dynamic performance score. The Q1.5 was installed rearward facing using adult seatbelt while it offered good protection to the head, chest showed limited protection. Side impact: Both dummies showed good protection. Some of the CRSs that were assessed for installation passed. The marking and instructions in the car in relation to CRS use does not meet Latin NCAP requirements. ISOFIX is not present in the car as standard. The car does Not offer standard 3 point belts in all eating positions. It is not possible to disable the passenger airbag in frontal double airbag versions in case a rearward facing CRS is installed in the frontal rearward facing.




### PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION (pasive)

24.59 points

The car does not meet regulation UN 127 for pedestrian protection

Balance factor for Pedestrian protection (until 2021)

15%



	Points	max score
Head impact	13.82	24
Upper Leg impact (Pelvis)	1.99	6
Lowe Leg impact (Leg)	5.57	6

AEB PEDESTRIAN

0.00 points

Balance factor for Pedestrian protection (until 2021)

15%

Name of System	NOT AVAILABLE
speed range Minimum (km/h)	
speed range Maximum (km/h)	
Type of dummy used	
Dummy speed	
Obstruct on scenario used	

### **PERFORMANCE**

Test Speed (km/h)	Test Speed (km/h)	CONTACT AVOIDED?
20km/h to 30km/h	_	_
30km/h to 40km/h	_	_
More than 40km/h	<del>-</del>	_



#### PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION

24.59 points 51.23 %



#### **COMMENTS**

PEDESTRIAN PROTECTON: The car does not meet Pedestrian protection UN regulations. The car showed majority of areas with mid to low protection levels.

AEB VRU: the car does not have ESC as standard, it does not offer AEB VRU not even as optional.



SPEED ASSISTENCE (this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions) **0.00 points** 

SEAT BELT REMINDER (this feature scores only if it is standard in all versions)

3.00 points

Warning	Driver	Passenger Rear Passenger	
Visual	<b>✓</b>	×	×
Audible	<b>✓</b>	×	×

# Audible X X

LANE SUPPORT SYSTEMS 0.00 points

\_\_\_\_

LDW is not available LKA is not available

System Name	-
Functionality	-
Operational from (km/h)	-
Warning	-

PERFORMANCE	
LKA Test	-
LDW Test	-

ROAD EDGE DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

0,00 points

Not Available

BLIND SPOT DETECTION (this feature scores only if it meets the fittment rates required)

0,00 points

Not Available

ESC 0,00 points

ESC is not available or is not standard in all versions

# MOOSE TEST - for information only - no scoring

ADAC MOOSE TEST

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h)

MOOSE Test - Consumer test Based - SWEDEN

Max Speed Achieved in test without failures (km/h)

AEB Interurban	0,00 points
System Name	-
Functionality	-
Operational from (km/h)	-

# PERFORMANCE

	AEB		Driver Reacts to warning	
Operational Speed (km/h) Min   Max	-	-	-	-
Approaching a slower moving car	-	-	-	-

# FOLLOWING A CAR AT SHORT DISTANCE

	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning
Car in front brakes gently	-	-
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-

# FOLLOWING A CAR AT LONG DISTANCE

	AEB	Driver Reacts to warning
Car in front brakes gently	-	-
Car in front brakes harshly	-	-



3.00 points 6.98 %



# COMMENTS

ESC: The car does not offer ESC as standard, and ABS is also NOT standard. SBR: the car offers only driver SBR. SAS: the car does not offer a speed limitation device. BSD: The car does not offer BSD. LSS and RED: the car does not have standard ESC and RED is not optional. AEB Interurban: the car does not have standard ESC and AEB is not available even as optoinal. AEB, LSS, BSD SA are not even optional for this model.

